

HVN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 428 OF 2005

IN

INCOME TAX APPEAL (L) NO.250 OF 2005

The Commissioner of Income Tax,
Mumbai. ... Appellant

Versus

M/s. Urmila & Co. Ltd. ... Respondent

Mr. B.M. Chatterjee with Mrs. P.P. Bhosale i/by
Pankaj Kapoor for the Appellant.

None for Respondents.

**CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
J.P.DEVADHAR, JJ.
DATED: AUGUST 27, 2007**

P.C.:

. Though respondents have been served, no reply has been filed opposing the averments in the affidavit in support of the motion. Considering the delay in the Law Ministry and the peculiar facts of the case and as the tax incidence is more than Rs.15,45,290/- in our opinion, the cause shown would amount to sufficient cause. Hence, delay condoned. Motion made absolute in terms of Prayer Clause (a). Office to register the appeal.

(J.P.DEVADHAR, J.)

(F.I.REBELLO, J.)